G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

1918-19
(Miscellaneous)

File No. 5-96-16

Serial Nos. 5-67

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 5-67

Part B for November 1919

SUBJECT.

Endowment funds of Sri Vishya Lingeshwara Swami temple at Thirtha Hosahalli.

No 15-03-5-d. 16. 11. 1919

To The Secy. Chamr. Thedur Hr.
Comptr. Hosahalli
Mercy. Hosahalli in Shimoga

Sanctions the refund of Rs 964-9-2 petitioner & then Chandrakeshwara Rao for a report re. the further recovery of the

W D 1250—GPB—30,000 - 28-1-19

Hosahalli
Recorder
25-11-1919.

G. R. S. III—1]

191[illegible]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(. .)

NOTES.

File No.

FILE HEADING.

Pertaining to /

From

No.	Dated	Received
Diary No.	Enclos Spare Copies.	Serial No.

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

III—3]

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record]

D 366 (Alag 596-16-1/1 ~~107-18-9~~ 89-8 18)

Petition from Bhemakesava-Rao, Landholder and Dharmadarsi, Sri Rishya Sringesvaraswami Temple, Hiriyur, Hosdurga Taluk, praying that certain amount (now deposited in the treasury) invested by his ancestor in private securities for the performance of morning puja in the Temple, may be treated as Pudurvat amount and the amount spent by him from his own pocket for the performance of the pujas till now may be refunded to him -

---

Submit with a draft C.M. forwarding the petn to the D C Kadur for disposal or report with an endt to the petn -

89/77-8 18 RG — Submitted for disposal. Draft may be approved

Seen) B Gopalan 29/10/18

29/10/18

N B —Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied or slips pasted on this form

G.R.S III—3]

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

M.F. 107-18-17. 576:14-5

No 786 Dy 5.9.18. To the DC
of Thadeer
Fds the petn for ~~disposal~~ [illegible].

No 787. Dy 5-9-18. To the
petnr - Informs the absence.

N. B —Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied or slips pasted on this form

R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. [illegible] of 191[illegible].

Serial No.	
6	J.
J.	In G.O. no. 59-60/Mug 596-16-2 & 10-7-17 Govt. were pleased to note the satisfactory settlement arrived at in regard to the recovery of the loan of Rs 2500/- granted from the Pudmvat funds of the temple.
H	In continuation of the above G.O. the Dy: Comr was requested in this office letter no. 61/Mug 596-16-3 & 10-7-17, to furnish information as regards the purpose for which the Pudmvat referred to was deposited and how the service stipulated was being conducted during the last few years.
	The petition of Mr Chennakesava Rao re the management of the Pudmvat amount and refund of certain expenditure incurred by him for the seva stipulated by-

NOTE—Contra. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Orig File No. 596 of 1916.

Serial No.

Pudmvat amount was forwarded to the Dy Comr for report. The report of the Dy Comr is with reference to the two previous communications to him -

The Dy Comr has no objection to the morning Puja stipulated by the Pudmvat fund to be conducted as a seva in the temple under Govt supervision and to the deposit of the Pudmvat endowment with Govt, as prayed for by the petrs.

As regards the refund of the expenditure incurred by the petrs for the performance of the morning puja, when it ceased to be performed on account of non-recovery of interest on the Pudmva amount, the Dharmadarsis and the local officers are unanimously in favour of Rs 964-9-2 being paid to the petrs, while the Dy: Comr thinks that the petrs is not strictly entitled to the payment. The matter is for the consideration and orders of Govt.

Subd for orders.

2/4/19 This is one of the cases in which the investment of temple funds in loans to private individuals has led to this wrong results. The Dy Comrs letter in Mem. 596/16 placed below

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Serial No.

gives a detailed history of the case and the G.O. no. 59-60/Mun 7-5-16-16-2 dt 10-7-17 records the "satisfactory settlement" arrived at by the Dy Comr in respect of this loan.

A sum of Rs 9614-9-2 is stated to have been recovered from the debtors' descendants towards the accumulated interest on the loan and credited to temple funds, and a mortgage deed for Rs 4500 got executed by them in favor of the temple.

The Dy Comr says that out of the petrs' prayers that (1) the money he is to have spent every month (360 Rs) for the Moonji puja* may be reimbursed to him, (2) the puja may be conducted under Govt management + (3) that the deed may be deposited with Govt as pledgee, the two latter requests may be granted. And that as regards the first he is not in favor of granting it tho' the Dharmadarsis and the subordinate officers have recommended it.

Before he began to conduct the Moonji puja at his own expense

* in the temple

+ for which the original pledge was intended

NOTE—Contn sheet

R. S. III-2]

3(4

General and Revenue Secretariat.

New File No. of 191

Serial No.

Mr. Chennakesavalu does not seem to have stipulated any condition as to his being reimbursed to that extent. His services was quite voluntary & so the Dy Com.r Considers that his Claim is not valid

As to the Mortgage deed executed by the descendants of the original debtor, the amt is very large, and the debtors are said to have made themselves in indifferent circumstances. Unless systematic efforts are made in recovering the instalments regularly, the debt is bound to stay on in the same condition for a no. of years, and another course of litigation may become necessary.

The Dy Com.r's attention may perhaps be drawn to this point also.

RG
3/9/19

~~A date when the~~ The question now for consideration is the request of J. Chennakesavalu for a refund of the money spent by him at Rs 36 a year for the upkeep of the morung for ~~the~~ the

NOTE—Contn sheet

H. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. of 191 .

Serial No

Temple June 1903.

The service was being performed out of interest on a Pudhuvath Fund of Rs 2500. which had been advanced as a loan to one Ittaraan as archi - When default was made in the payment of the interest for 1897, the service was kept up by the Parapattyan Vishwanath uncle of the pet^r & subsequently by the pet^r since 1903 on the Expectation presumably that the Devi had been inherited by his Ancestors -.

Now that arrears of interest to the Amount of Rs 960 and has been incurred, the pet^r asks that the money spent by him may be refunded -

= The question depends

J- Chennakesavaperumal had paid for the service purja - on the distinct understanding that it

NOTE—Contn sheet

Serial No.

Shd be treated as an address to be accepted as money of interest, then the claim now ~~claimed~~ is preferred must be considered.

But if on the other hand there was no such understanding & Chennakesava Rau kept up the Puja of his employer with — as a voluntary seva as an a continuance of what had been initiated by his ancestor - then his present claim is 'out of place'.

The Dr. Rau reports that it was a voluntary seva - but there is no mind in the Venkatachalam file to substantiate it. The Dr may be asked to have the point enquired into - desputely - & send a further report.

The question of the money or the money on the [illegible]

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD A 1789—GPB—60,000—20 3 18—S.

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. of 191 .

Serial No.

does not arise in connection with the petn of the mortgagor - reservation.

But the Dr may be asked to report if the parties have been paying up the interest and Rs 2500/- instalments in respect of the balance of Rs 20000/- as stipulated in the deed

mortgage for Rs 40000/- dated 3-4-20

A.M.L
3-9-29

I agree with the Dr Court that petr is not entitled to any refund. In the absence of any specific understanding, the puja must be held to have been conducted voluntarily. The claim may be rejected.

The Dr Court may be asked to take prompt measures for the recovery of the interest and the instalments from the parties who have now executed the mortgage deed -

4.10.29

3rd Member)

NOTE—Contra. sheet.

1202/2029

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 596 of 1916.

Serial No.

The object with which the deposit of Rs 2500, was made by the pet[r] Mr Chennakesavaroo's ancestor was to secure his performance of certain Sevai in the temple from the interest realised thereon deposit. Subsequently in 1898 the Trustees with whom the deposit was invested having failed to make payments of interest the Sevas stood in danger of being stopped and the pet[r] who was interested in the Continuance of the Sevas withheld the ancestor rather than allow them to be stopped Endowed for worship of the necessary funds Endowed therefore his own Expense & take the chance of getting his own & Sperry by his respective later on after the recovery of the arrears of interest due on the deposit. These arrears having been now recovered amounting to the original deposit of Rs 2500 & costs decreed of Rs 964/9/12, as also a sum of Rs 4500 awarded as damages for the Trustees conduct. In his circumstances, I think he should be allowed the profits of the pet[r] his own act & Shows of what is due to Expenses he regards his claim arising on the ground that he had not Claims previous Sanction be given at any rate non-recovery for the 'Sevai'.

Sanction for the payment of his amount of Rs 964/9/12 to

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD A 1789—GPB—60,000—20 3 18—S.

(1

[A. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

May File No. 596 of 1916.

Serial No.

The Dep[t] cannot [illegible] at present [illegible] any [illegible] has been received [illegible] from the Executive [illegible] the [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

(12

G. R. S. III-2)

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Rmg File No. 596 of 1916.

Serial No.	
	Draft endt is also subd for approval.
	7.11.19 7/11/19 Asst 7-11-19 (Totem) 10/11/19 Asst 10/11
7.	To, D.C. Kadur, Comptr. & Rmg Supdt, No. 1503-5 dy. 14-11-1919. Sanctions the refund of Rs 964-9-2 to Rt petnr. Mr. Chennakesava Rao, Kigga temple & calls for a report re the further recovery of the Purdvat amount in question sent out. No. 1506. Informs Mr. Chennakesava Rao. of the above.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GOVT. OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA
OF MYSORE.

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

596-1-5 No. 786.
~~107+8-17.~~

The accompanying Petition Registered
No. 366 dated 9-8-18
received at the General and Revenue
Secretariat from Chennakesava Rao,
Landholder and Dharmadarsi,
Sri Rishiya Shringeswaraswami
Devasthana at Kigga, Koppa Taluk,
praying that certain amounts
~~endowed in private service~~ Pudavat
by his ancestors for the per-
formance of morning puja
in the temple, may be ~~granted~~
restored ~~and pay a gratuity~~ (as Pudavat) ~~amount~~ and that
~~out of pocket expenditure~~ the money he has
incurred for the said puja may be
is forwarded, ~~together with its enclosures,~~ paid ~~refunded~~ to him

to the Dy: Comr: Kadur Dist:
for ~~disposal or report, if~~
~~necessary.~~ ... ~~for~~ ...

By Order,
[illegible]
~~for~~ Secretary.
Asst: Secretary

BANGALORE,
... ... 191

[P. T. O.

GPB -500-6-11-12

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರ್ ..... ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು

..... ಹೋಬಳಿ

..... ಗ್ರಾಮದಲ್ಲಿರುವ

..... ಗೆ

ಇಂಡಾರ್ಸ್ಮೆಂಟು.

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ನೀವು ಗುಜರಾಯಿಸಿಕ್ಕೊಂಡ..... .....

ಮುಕ್ತು ಮಿನ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು ....ಬಗ್ಗೆ

.....

.....ರವರ ಬಳಿಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದೆ.

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು

By Order,

Secretary.

To Bheemakesava Rao, Landholder and Dharmadarsi, Sri Rishyasringesvaraswamy Temple at Nagga, Koppa Taluk. ——

With reference to his petition dt 9-8-18 re Treatment as Paduvat of certain amount invested by his ancestor ~~as Paduvat amount~~ for the performance of morning puja in the temple out of the interest accruing thereon etc, he is informed that the petn has been forwarded to the Dy: Comr Kadur Distt. for ~~disposal or~~ report ~~if necessary~~ —

By Order
[illegible]
for Jt. Secretary
Mun: Deptt

9.10.18.

27.8.18

520
Kadur
596-16
6

WD 0463—GPB—3,00,000—21-7-17—S

4918

1919

From The Deputy Commissioner,
Kadur District.

To The Secretary to the Government
of Mysore, Muzrai Department
Bangalore

Dated 15th
Despatched 16th } August 1919.
Received

General No. Ste. 1444
No. C&G

Enclosure No. one file of ten words.

Reporting on the petition of Chama-
-desava Rao, Dharmadarsi of Sri
Kyathyadrishwara Swami temple
at Kigga in the Koppa Taluk
the refund of ~~expenditure~~ incurred by
him in connection with the per-
-formance of Mahima Puja
in the said temple

(1)

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,

Kadur District.

Dated Chikmagalur, 15th August 1919.

From

K. Mylar Rao, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Offg. Deputy Commissioner,

Kadur District.

To

G. Aravamudiengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of Mysore,

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT,

Bangalore.

Sir,

Adverting to your letter No.61/596-16-3 of the 10th July 1917 enquiring for what purpose the Puduvat Funds of the Sri Rishyasringeswaraswami Temple at Kigga in the Koppa Taluk -- referred to in it was deposited and your Official Memo No.786/Muz. F.107-18-17 of the 5th September 1918 forwarding for report, the petition of Chennakesava Rao, praying that the money he has spent on the morning puja in the said temple be refunded to him, I have the honour to state as follows:-

2. The ancestors of the petitioner Chennakesava Rao had deposited a sum of Rs.2,500/- for the performance of morning puja in the Sri Rishyasringeswaraswami Temple, and this amount was given as a loan to Hedse Seetharamashastry on the condition that he should pay interest thereon at 9 per cent per annum. The interest was regularly paid till the close of the year 1897, and was utilized for the purpose for which it was intended. From 1898 the interest fell into arrears, and to recover both principal and interest, a civil suit was instituted in the Chikmagalur Sub Judge's Court where the amount claimed was decreed. But the decree elapsed for failure to take timely action in execution. Fortunately, by private negotiations the heirs of Sitharamashastry paid in April 1917 a sum of Rs.964-9-2 towards part adjustment of the accumulated interest and executed on the same day a mortgage deed for the balance of the decree amount (Viz.Rs.4500/-). These facts were reported to Government and the

communication

communication has been recorded in Government Order No.59-60/596-16-2 dated 10th July 1917.

3. The petitioner Chennakesava Rao represents that the object of the donor was being fulfilled during the Parpathegarship of his uncle Viveswarayya who was getting payment for the puja performed, out of the interest on the Pudavat money and that, after he left service in 1903(Sobhakritu), the morning puja was being done under his own supervision for which he was incurring a monthly expenditure of Rs.36/-. He prays that he may be reimbursed of the money which he has spent, that the morning Puja may be conducted as a seva in the temple under Government supervision and that the endowment Fund may be deposited with Government as Puduvat.

4. The two latter requests may be granted and the moneys recovered from the heirs of Seetharamasastry may be deposited with Government, as they are recovered. As regards the first, the Dharmadarsis, the Amildar and the Sub Division Officer are in favour of payment being made to Mr. Chennakesava Rao of Rs.964-9-2, the interest recovered from the representatives of Seetharama Sastry. There is also a statement from some of the residents of the place to the effect that the morning Puja was being conducted. The claim of the petitioner is, however, belated, and strictly, he does not seem entitled to any reimbursement as his act in getting the Puja performed was purely voluntary and there was no undertaking to reimburse him of the expenses incurred in connection with it.

5. The petition received with your memo and the file of vernacular papers are herewith submitted.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Offg. Deputy Commissioner,
Kadur District.

D.S.R.

G.R.S. VI-71]

SUBJECT Sanctions the refund of Rs 964-9-2 to the late Shivakesava Rao of the Kigga Sri Rishyasringeswara swami devasthana and calls for a report regarding recovery of the Pudivat amount in question

Diary No. of letter replied to 24

Section Revenue

File No. 596-16

Serial No. 7

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the 191 .

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

Date of issue 14: 11: 17

Signed by Mr. A. Venkatasubbaiah

Read.— Q.O. No. 59-60/Mis 596-16-2 & 10-7-17 approving of the Dy: Comr's action in arriving at a ~~satisfactory~~ settlement in regard to the recovery of the Pudivat amount of Rs 2500/- ~~of~~ encumbered to the Sri Rishya Sringeswara swami Temple at Kigga in the Order Thereon. ~~None~~ Koppa Taluk leased out to one Vedase Seetharamasastry.

2) Letter No. 61/Mis 596-16-3 & 10-7-17 to the Dy: Comr Kadur

0161

May this be

i Printed ?

ii treated as a standing order ?

iii published in the Gazette English part ?

iv translated ?

v placed at the disposal of the press ?

vi published in the Gazette Kannada part ?

Dist^t, requesting him to furnish information as regards the purpose for which the Pudivat in question was deposited and how the service for which it was intended was being conducted during the last few years -

3) C. M. no. 786/Mis 596-16-8- & 5-9-18 calling for a report from the Dy: Coun^r, Kadur Dt^t, on the petition of Chennakesava-Rao, Dharmadarsi of the temple, praying that the amount deposited by his ancestor for certain service in the temple may be restored as Pudivat and the amount expended by him for the conduct of the service for ~~certain~~ some years, may be paid to him-

4) Letter no. D. C. 144 & 18-19 & 15-8-19 from the Dy: Coun^r. Kadur Dist^t,

(2) Pet^n no 386 & 2nd Aug for ~~—~~ Chennakesavarao ~~Pet^r~~ requesting ~~the refund~~ ~~of the amount spent~~ ~~by him for the performance~~ Stating that the Pudivat had been endowed by one of his ancestor. for the performance of Morning puja in the Temple, and that when the persons to whom the money had been lent, failed to pay the interest, he had the seva at his own expence, ~~balance~~. and requests that ~~that~~ the money so expended by him may be refunded. As the interest has since been

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

~~S~~ 14.11.1919

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

the subject..

Order: ——— 1503-5 Secs
Order 596-16-7 Secs

the object with which the deposit of Rs 2500/: was made by the ~~petitioner~~ Director of Mr. Chenpakesava Rao ~~Navaccator~~ Vakil Petr was to secure the performance of certain 'Sevas' in the temple from the interest realised thereon. Subsequent to 1898, the parties with whom the deposit ~~was~~ invested having failed to make payment of interest, the 'Sevas' stood in danger of being stopped: and the Petr. who was interested in the continuance of the 'Sevas' instituted by his ancestor, rather than allow them to be stopped for want of the necessary funds, evidently preferred to have them performed at his own expense and take the chance of getting the amount spent by him, recouped by him

after the recovery of the arrears
of interest due on the deposit.
These arrears have since been
~~finally~~ recovered; and against
the original deposit of Rs 2500/-
a cash payment of Rs 964-9-2
towards interest, ~~and~~ And a mort
gage deed for Rs 4500, have
been obtained from the parties
concerned. In the circumstances
it will only be fair to refund
to the petr the amount advanced
by him and ~~as~~ it will not be
equitable to reject his claim
merely on the ground that he
had not obtained ~~previous~~
sanction before advancing his
own money for the 'sevas'. Govt
are, accordingly, pleased to
sanction the payment to the
petr of the amount of Rs 964-9-2,
recovered from the parties, as recom-
mended by the Dharmadarsis.
of the Temple —

GPP—10 000—16-2 14

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

Rs 4500. executed by Sowmara a Yadava ~~other~~ Native of the original loan.

2. The Dy: Comr is requested to report if any amount has been recovered till now from the executants of the mortgage deed in accordance with the terms thereof: and if so, how it has been dealt with, and to make suitable arrangements to ensure that the terms of the document are strictly enforced and that the amount realised from time to time towards the repayment of the mortgage amount are immediately deposited in the Govt Savings Bank in the name of the Dy: Comr on behalf of the institution.

He is also requested

Secretary
Rly Mny: Deptt
5/11/19

To
The Dy: Comr, Kadur Dt, rely
the rec: records.
" Comptr to Govt
" Mysore Surgn in Mysore

89
5/11/19

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.... .....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.. -

Section Exp.

File No. 96-16

Serial No. 7

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

( )

the 191 .

No. 1576

Date of issue..... 14.11.19.

To

S. N. Chamakesava Rao

Kigga

Post Sringeri.

Signed by.. .....

With reference to his petition
Regd. no. 366 of 9-8-18 and subse-
quent ~~pres on the subject of~~
~~certain amount invested by~~ his
~~ancestors for certain service~~
~~in the Sri Rishyasringeswara-~~
~~swami temple at Kigga being~~
~~restored as the temple funds~~ ~~had~~ refund of the enclosed
order.

expended by him for
the conduct of the services
in the Sri Kalyana Seeman Temple at Kaffe
during certain years,

when the interest on the
invested for the purpose
~~was~~ amount was
not being realised, he
is informed that the
Kadur Dy: Comr has been
requested to ~~refund to~~ pay
him Rs 964-9-2 being the amount of arrears of interest
~~interest realised on the~~ earned on the original
~~invested amount and~~ debt in repayment of
also to deposit in the what he has so far now
Govt Savings Bank in spent for the performance
the name of the Dy: of the Seva. List of enclosures.
Comr on behalf of
the institution, the
amount realised from
time to time towards
the repayment of the
mortgage amount.

Copied by

Examined by

By Order

Secretary

Dept

(D) 744-GPB—3,000-1931